	Case 3:06-cv-05287-RJB	Document 28	Filed 12/04/06	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
9	AT TACOMA			
10	GEORGE HERTZOG,			
11	Petitioner,		CASE NO. C06	-5287RJB
12	v.			
13	MAGGIE MILLER-STOUT,		ORDER ADOP	
14 15	Respondent.		AND RECOMMENDATION, DISMISSING WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, AND DENYING MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT	
16				
17	This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate			
18	Judge Karen L. Strombom (Dkt. 19) and the petitioner's Motion to Supplement the Records and			
19	to Produce New [sic] Found Evidence (Dkt. 27). The Court has considered the Report and			
20	Recommendation, the motion, and the file herein.			
21	I. BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION			
22	As set forth in the Report and Recommendation, Mr. Hertzog's petition is time barred			
23	because the statute of limitations ran on or about November 19, 2001. Dkt. 19 at 4. Mr. Hertzog			
24	twice moved for additional time to file objections to the Report and Recommendation, and both			
25	motions were granted. See Dkt. 22, Dkt. 25.			
26	Mr. Herzog has not filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. In the interest of			
27	fairness to the petitioner, the Court has considered Mr. Hertzog's Motion to Supplement the			
28	ORDER Page 1			

Records and to Produce New [sic] Found Evidence (Dkt. 27) and the accompanying documents 1 2 as objections. 3 The only portion of the motion addressing the timeliness of Mr. Hertzog's petition apparently contends that the petition is not time barred due to the improper denial of requests for 5 documents from his court-appointed attorney in February of 2001. Dkt. 27-1 at 4. The majority of the motion addresses the substantive merits of Mr. Hertzog's petition and not its timeliness. In a 6 document entitled "Rebuttal," Mr. Hertzog contends that he was unaware of the statute of 7 8 limitations governing his petition and lists several events that occurred after the statute of 9 limitations expired. See Dkt. 27-6 at 7-8. Mr. Hertzog fails to establish that equitable tolling is warranted, and the Report and Recommendation should be adopted. 10 11 II. ORDER 12 Therefore, it is hereby 13 **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom (Dkt. 19) is **ADOPTED**, and the petitioner's writ of habeas corpus (Dkt. # 5) is 14 **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. The Motion to Supplement the Records and to Produce 16 New [sic] Found Evidence (Dkt. 27) is **DENIED**. 17 The Clerk of the Court is instructed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing *pro se* at said party's last known address. 18 DATED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2006. 19 20 21 22 United States District Judge 23 24 25 26 27 28